# Discriminating among the discriminator bases of tRNAs

Ya-Ming Hou

Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases must select their specific tRNAs from the 20 structurally similar tRNAs present in a cell. The discriminator base, at position 73 of the tRNA, is important for this selection but its effects on aminoacylation are variable depending on context Recent structural studies provide insight into this variability.

Address: Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Thomas Jefferson University. 233 South 10th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA.

E-mail: haul @jeflin.tju.edu

Electronic identifier: 1074-5521-004-00093

Chemistry & Biology February 1997, 4:93-96

0 Current Biology Ltd ISSN 1074-5521

Aminoacylation of tRNAs, the attachment of amino acids to the CCA end of  $tRNAs$  (Fig. 1), is catalyzed by 20 aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. This reaction is important for the fidelity of protein synthesis but it also poses one of the mosf challenging problems in molecular biology: how do the 20 synthetases discriminate between structurally similar tRNAs co achieve specific aminoacylarion? One attractive possibility is that the nucleoride at position 73 (N73) adjacent fo the CCA end in each tRNA might serve as a discriminator base for recognition by synthetases [l]. Recently studies of tRNA-synthetase interactions have yielded evidence that largely supports this hypothesis (Table 1); nucleotides at N73 are generally important for aminoacylation. Recent studies have also pointed out that some N73 nucleotides appear to be more important than others, however. Thus, not all N73 nuclcorides are created equal and the molecular basis for this remains unknown.

Table 1 summarizes studies using rRNA variants containing a single substitution at N73. These rRNA variants are prepared by T7 RNA polymerase and their catalytic efficiency of aminoacylation  $(k_{cat}/K_m)$  is compared to that of the wild-type transcript. Most of these variants are defective for aminoacylarion; however, their decrease in  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{on}}$  compared to that of the wild type ranges over several orders of magnitude from less than IO-fold to more than 10000-fold. The largest decrease in  $k_{cs}/K_m$  caused by a substitution of N73 in each rRNA is shown in Table 1. If this substitution causes less than a lo-fold decrease in  $k_{cat}/K_m$  ( $\Delta \Delta G < 1.4$  kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>), the N73 nucleotide is considered minimally important; if the decrease is 10-100-fold  $(1.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} < \Delta \Delta G < 2.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ , N73 is considered moderately important; and if ir is more than

100-fold  $(\Delta\Delta G > 2.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$ , N73 has a major role in aminoacylation. Not only do different N73 nucleotides make a different contribution to aminoacylation, but the same N73 nucleotide in different tRNAs can also have a different effect on aminoacylarion (Table 1). For example, A73 has minimal significance in Escherichia coli tRNA<sup>Lys</sup>, moderate significance in  $E$ . coli tRNA<sup>Ala</sup>, but major significance in E. coli tRNA<sup>Leu</sup>. Also, G73 of yeast tRNA<sup>Asp</sup> has major significance, whereas G73 of E. coli tRNAGIn has only moderate significance. For the last two examples, recent crystallographic studies of tRNA-synthetase complexes [2,3] have provided an important insight into the difference between the role of G73 of yeast tRNAAsp and that of E. coli tRNAGIn.

## The direct and the indirect mechanisms

The co-crystal structure of yeast  $tRNA<sup>Asp</sup>$  complexed with aspartyl tRNA synthetase indicates that G73 contributes fo aminoacylarion by a direct mechanism, where G73 makes direct hydrogen interactions with the synthetase [2]. In contrast, the co-crystal structure of E. coli tRNAGIn





The cloverleaf structure of a 1RNA. Variable nucleatides are indicated by small dots and the conserved and semi-conserved nucleotides are indicated by letters. The discriminator base and the anticodon nucleotides that are generally important for aminoacyiation are indicated by larger dots. The numbering follows that of yeast tRNA<sup>Phe</sup> [22].

### Table 1

#### The discriminator bases of tRNAs'.



'N73 is from research papers given in references or from Steinberg et al. [22]. <sup>†</sup>The largest defect of a substitution at N73 is represented. This defect is based on the decrease (in x-fold) of  $k_{cat}/K_m$  on  $k_{cat}/K_m$  is not given in the original papers, an estimate of  $k_{cat}/K_m$  is aminoacylation by the substitution. Decrease of less than 1 O-fold is made by measuring the relative ratio oi the initial rate of shown as '+', decrease of 10- to 100-fold is shown as '++', while aminoacylation of the mutant to that of the wild type. This estimated decrease of greater than 100-fold is shown as ' $+++$ '. No effect on effect is indicated by parentheses.

complexed with glutaminyl tRNA synthctase indicates that G73 acts via an indirect mechanism, where G73 does not directly interact with the synthetase but instead confers a conformational change to the acceptor end of the tRNA to facilitate aminoacylation [31.

Specifically, in the tRNA-synchctase complex of yeast rRNAA"P G73 cxtends into the active sire of the enzyme where it forms hydrogen-bonding interactions with sidechains of the synthetase. It does not confer any conformational change of the acceptor stem, and therefore its contribution to aminoacylation is entirely through direct contact with the synthetase. In contrast,  $G73$  of E. coli tRNA<sup>Gln</sup> uses its 2-amino group to make one hydrogen bond with the phosphate oxygen of the previous nucleotidc, so that the backbone of G73 is folded hack towards the 3' end of the tRNA. The formation of this fold-back hairpin enables the synthctase to break open the first base pair of the acceptor stem so as to reach the second and third hasc pairs for specific interactions. Although the conformational change at the CCA end is necessary to stabilize the tRNA-synthetase interaction, G73 itself does not form specific hydrogen bonds with the  $k_{cal}/K_m$  is shown as '-'. Not determined is shown as 'nd'. If a substitution reduces the efficiency of aminoacylation, but the value of

synthctase, and therefore its contribution is entirely through an indirect mechanism.

The encrgctics of the direct and the indirect mechanisms can be estimated. Of the three substitutions of G73 of yeast tRNAAsp, the G73C substitution results in the largest decrease in  $k_{\text{car}}/K_m$ , which is 200-fold below that of the wild type [4]. This corresponds to a  $\Delta\Delta G$  of 3.3  $kcal$  mol<sup>-1</sup> and represents the cost of hydrogen bonds between G73 and amino-acid sidechains of the synthetase. Of the three substitutions of G73 of E. coli tRNAG<sup>In</sup>, the G73C substitution also results in the largest decrease in  $k_{\text{car}}/K_{\text{m}}$ , but the decrease here is only 14-fold and corresponds to 1.6 kcalmol<sup>-1</sup> [5]. This represents the cost of one hydrogen bond between G73 and the sugar-phosphate hackbone. Although detailed crystal structures of other tRNA-synthctase complexes arc not yet available, it is probable that some N73 nucleotidcs will use the direct mechanism while others will use the indirect mechanism. The energetics of the direct mechanism should be comparable to that of G73 of yeast tRNA<sup>Asp</sup>, whereas the energetics of the indirect mechanism may vary, depending on the complexity of the mechanism.

# Is the discriminator base the major determinant of aminoacylation?

The major determinant of aminoacylation is the nucleotide in a tRNA that makes the largest thermodynamic contribution to aminoacylarion. The thermodynamic contribution of N73 ( $\Delta\Delta G_{73}$ ) is compared to those of the major and the nearest major determinants ( $\Delta\Delta G_1$  and  $\Delta\Delta G_2$ , respectively) in several tRNAs in Table 2. The tRNAs selected for Table 2 each contain an N73 that is of ar least moderate imporrance in aminoacylation. The data show that, while the anticodon and the discriminator bases are generally important, the discriminator base of E. coli tRNA<sup>Gys</sup>, tRNA<sup>His</sup>, tRNALcu, and of yeast tRNAHiSis the major determinant for aminoacylation of these tRNAs. In all cases,  $\Delta\Delta G_{23}$  is equal to  $\Delta\Delta G_1$  and is greater than  $\Delta\Delta G_2$  by at least 1.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>.

in aminoacylation? One possibility is that these discrimina- end of the molecule towards the first nucleotide of the tor bases have the potential to use both the direct and acceptor stem. An NMR analysis of an acceptor stem that the indirect mechanisms to promote the cognate  $tRNA-$  contains the same first four base pairs and the same termisynthetase interaction. The  $\Delta\Delta G$ s of substitutions of nal UCCA sequence as those in E. coli tRNA<sup>Cys</sup> provides U73 of E. coli tRNACys, C73 of E. coli tRNAHis, and A73 evidence for such a fold-back structure [8]. In this strucof yeast tRNA<sup>His</sup> are 7.1 kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>, 5.7 kcalmol<sup>-1</sup> and ture, the terminal A76 stacks with G1 and may form 4.5 kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. All of these are greater than hydrogen bonds with U73 to stabilize a GNRA-like tctra- $3.3$  kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>, which is the value calculated for the direct loop structure that is commonly found in large RNAs. mechanism of G73 of yeast tRNAAsp. In fact, all of these This fold-back structure may serve as an unusual RNA are close to, or greater than, the  $\Delta\Delta G$  of 4.9 kcalmol<sup>-1</sup> motif that is important for recognition by cysteine tRNA that combines the direct  $(3.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$  and the indirect synthetase. In addition, the fold-back structure may allow  $(1.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$ , calculated for G73 of E. coli tRNA<sup>Gin</sup>) all four nucleotides in the UCCA end to interact with the mechanisms. This suggests that each of these discriminator synthetase thus extending the binding interaction from bases can contribute to aminoacylation both via a direct one nucleotide to four nucleotides. The  $\Delta\Delta G$  of the mechanism (by providing a site of contact for the cognate U73G substitution in E. coli tRNA<sup>Cys</sup> is 7.1 kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>, synthetase) and also via an indirect mechanism (by confer- which is the largest effect seen so far. It is also signifiring a structural feature to the tRNA to enhance the overall cantly greater than the  $\Delta\Delta G$  of combined mechanisms, affinity of tRNA for the synthetase). suggesting multiple nucleotides may be involved.

The direct mechanism is easy to envision. Each discriminator base will use its unique functional groups (such as 02 and  $O4$  of U,  $O2$  and  $N4$  of C,  $N1$  and  $N6$  of A) to make specific hydrogen bonds with amino-acid sidechains of the cognate synthetase. The indirect mechanism can he proposed on the basis of sequence and structural evidence for each of the discriminator bases cited above. For cxamplc, in E. coli tRNAHis, C73 is paired with an extra G-1 on the 5' end of the tRNA to form an additional base pair in the acceptor stem  $[6]$ . In yeast tRNA<sup>His</sup>, A73 is paired with an extra G-l to form an unusual G-l:A73 mismarch [7]. In both cases, the discriminator bases form an extra base pair that alters the structure of the acceptor end and therefore may indirectly influence the ability of the cognate syntherase to interact with the tRNA.

Why do some discriminator bases have a dominant role U73 of E. coli tRNA<sup>Cys</sup> has a propensity to fold the CCA





The  $\Delta\Delta G$  values are calculated from the reported decrease (in x-fold) of  $k_{cat}/K_m$  of aminoacylation by a substitution according to the equation  $\Delta\Delta G = -RT\ln(x)$ , where R is the universal gas constant and T is the absolute temperature. In each tRNA. the largest effect of a substitution is indicated by  $\Delta\Delta G_i$ , the second

largest effect is indicated by  $\Delta \Delta \rm{G}_2$ , and the largest effect at N73 is indicated by  $\Delta\Delta G_{23}$ . The information on kcat/Km is obtained from references cited in Table 1. The tRNAs in which the discriminator base has a dominant role in aminoacylation are indicated by large dots.

In principle, contributions to aminoacylation from the direct and indirect mechanisms should be experimentally distinguishable. For example, to determine if U73 of  $E$ ,  $\text{coli}$  tRNA<sup>Cys</sup> uses a direct mechanism, tRNA variants that are defective in the direct mechanism but competent in the indirect mechanism can be created. These variants may lack 1173 but retain the ability to form the fold-back structure. Conversely, to determine if  $U73$  of  $E$ .  $\omega h$ tRNACY" contributes indirectly to aminoacylation, rRNA variants that are defective in the indirect mechanism but competent in the direct mechanism can be created. These variants may retain U73 but lack the ability to form a stable fold-back structure. Both classes of mutants can be assayed for aminoacylation and their decrease in  $k_{\text{car}}/K_m$ can be used to estimate the energetics of the direct or indirect mechanism. Additionally, both classes of mutants can be characterized for the presence or absence of the fold-back structure to provide a correlation between the strucfure and function of U73.

The original discriminator-base hypothesis has turned out to be partially correct, but the true mechanism of discrimination in tRNA recognition is far more complex than originally imagined — and far more interesting.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by grant number GM47935 from the NIH and a grant from the Lucille P. Markey Charitable Trust. I thank Christian Hamann and Howard Camper for critically reading the manuscript.

#### References

- 1. Crothers, D.M., Seno, T. & Söll, D.G. (1972). Is there a discriminator site in transfer RNA? Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 69, 3063-3067.
- 2. R"ff M., e\* a,. &Moms. D. (199,). Class II aminoacyl transfer RNA synthetases: crystal structure of yeast aspartyl-tRNA synthetase complexed with tRNA<sup>Asp</sup>, Science 252, 1682-1689.
- 3. Rould, M.A., Perona, J.J., Söll, D. & Steitz, T.A. (1989). Structure of E. cab lutaminyl-tRNA synthetase complexed with tRNaG1" and ATP  $\sim 0.6$  resolution. Science 246. 1136. 1130.
- 4. Pütz, J., Puglisi, J.D., Florentz, C. & Giege, R. (1991). Identity elements for specific aminoacylation of yeast tRNA<sup>Asp</sup> by cognate aspartyl-tR<br>synthetase. Science **252, 1696–1699** synthetase. *Science* 252, 1696-1699.<br>Ibba. M., Hong, K.W., Sherman. J.M., Sever. S. & Söll, D. (1996).
- 5. Interactions between tRNA identity nucleotides and their recognition sites in glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase determine the cognate amino acid affinity of the enzyme. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 93, 6953-6958.
- 6. Himeno, H., Hasegawa, T., Ueda, T., Watanabe, K., Miura, K. & Shimizu, M. (1989). Role of the extra G-C pair at the end of the acceptor stem of tRNAHis in aminoacylation. Nucleic Acids Res. 17, 7855-7863.
- 7. Nameki. N., Asahara. H.. Shimizu, M., Okada. N. & Himeno, H. (1995). Identity elements of Saccharomyces cerevisiae tRNAHis. Nucleic Acids Res. 23, 389-394.
- 8. Puglisi, E.V., Puglisi, J.D.. Williamson. 1.R. & RajBhandary, U.L. (1994. NMR analysis of tRNA acceptor stem micraheiices: discriminator base change affects tRNA conformation at the 3' end. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 91, 11467-11471.
- 9. Tamura, K., Asahara, H., Himeno, H., Hasegawa, T. & Shimizu, M. (1991a). Identity elements of Escherichia coli tRNAAla. J. Mol. Evol. 4, 129-132.
- $\overline{X}$  . The state is the state in the shift of the Shimiman state in the Shimiman stat (1992). In vitro study of E. coli tRNA<sup>Arg</sup> and tRNA<sup>Lys</sup> identity elements. Nucleic Acids Res. 20, 2335-2339.
- 11. Nameki. N., Tamura, K., Himeno, H.. Asahara. H.. Hasegaw~, T. & Shimizu, M. (1992). Escherichia coli tRNAA<sup>sp</sup> recognition mechanism differs from that of the yeast system. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 189, 856-862.
- 12. Komatsoulis, G.A. & Abelson, J. (1993). Recognition of tRNACys by Escherichia coli cysteine tRNA synthetase. Biochemistry 32. 7435-7444.
- Asahara, H., Himeno, H., Tamura, K., Hasegawa, T., Watanabe, K. &  $13.$ Shimizu, M. (1993). Recognition nucleotides of Escherichia coli tRNALeu and its elements facilitating discrimination from tRNASer and tRNA<sup>Tyr</sup> J. Mol. Biol. 231, 219-229.
- 14. Peterson, E.T. & Uhlenbeck, O.C. (1992). Determination of recognition nucleotides for Escherichia coli phenylalanyi-tRNA synthetase, Biochemistry 31, 10380-10389.
- Sampson, J.R., Behelen, L.S., DiRenzo, A.B. & Uhlenbeck, O.C. (1992). 15. Recognition of yeast tRNA<sup>Phe</sup> by its cognate yeast phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase: an analysis of specificity. Biochemistry 31, 4161-4167.
- 16. Liu, H., Peterson, R., Kessler, J. & Musier-Forsyth, K. (1995). Molecular recognition of tRNAPro by Escherichia coli proline tRNA synthetase in vitro. Nucleic Acids Res. 23, 165-169.
- $17<sub>1</sub>$ Himeno, H., Hasegawa, T., Ueda, T., Watanabe, K. & Shimizu, M. Conversion of aminoacylation specificity from tRNATy to tRNASer in vitro. (1991). Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 6815-6819.
- Breitschopf, K. & Gross, H.J. (1996). The discriminator bases G73 in 18. human tRNASer and A73 in tRNALeu have significantly different roles in the recognition of aminoacyl tRNA synthetases. Nucleic Acids Res. 24.  $405 - 410$ .
- Hasegawa, T., Miyano. M.. Himeno. H.. Sane, Y.. Kimura. K. & Shimizu, M. (1992). Biochem. Biaphys. Res. Commun. Identity determinants of  $E.$  coli threonine tRNA.  $184.478-484.$
- 20. Himeno, H., Hasegawa, T., Asahara, K., Tamura, K. & Shimizu, M. (1991). Identity deierminants of E. coti tryptophan 1RNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 23,6379-6382.
- 21. Tamura, K., Himeno, H., Asahara, H., Hasegawa, T. & Shimizu, M. (1991b). Identity determinants of E. coli tRNA<sup>Val</sup>, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 177, 619-623.
- 22. Steinberg, S., Misch, A. & Sprinzl, M. (1993). Compilation of tRNA and tRNA gene sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 21, 3011-3015.